Subject		Action
Heading		
Present	Parish Councillors: Mr J Field - Chair – (JF), Mr A Nash – Vice Chair – (AN), Mrs J Hobbs – (JH) & Mrs C Stewart - (CS), Clerk: Mrs J Smeaton – (JS).	
In Attendance	Attending the meeting were: Mr Graham Littleton (District Councillor): PCSO Emma MacDonald <del>,</del> PCSO Sam Jones <del>,</del> Mr Dudley Ford (DF), Mr Gordon Davis (GD), Mrs Helen Bennett, Mrs Jennie Field, Mr Michael Carter.	
1 Apologies	Mr Tony Blackburn (Gloucester County Councillor) and Mr Trevor Jones (Parish Councillor) (TJ).	
2 Police Report	<ul> <li>JF opened the meeting at 7.45 pm and welcomed those attending.</li> <li>PCSOs Sam Jones (SJ) and Emma MacDonald (EM) introduced themselves as the new police officers responsible for Elmore. SJ had explained that there had only been-one crime since the last-report in December 2011. This was when a shed had been broken into and a motorbike had been stolen. There had also been a domestic incident that had been reported last week. There had also been a report of a man with a shotgun shooting at some ducks in the area of the pond near Weir Green. SJ said that apart from the last 2 reported incidents, Elmore had a very low crime rate.</li> <li>Both EM &amp; SJ work Monday to Saturday during the day. They are hoping to be travelling around Elmore a lot more in the day time.</li> <li>JF did mention the amount of fly-tipping that takes place in and around Elmore. SDC do a very good job at collecting the rubbish once it has been left, however JF stated that they were more concerned about reducing the risk of this happening in the first place. SJ asked if there was a neighbourhood watch in place. It was answered that there is one but it is not functioning; however the villagers do look out for each other.</li> <li>SJ did mention that the police can send out a text to villagers making them aware if a crime has taken place, for the villagers to be aware for the next 48 hours etc. It was agreed that this was a good idea and is something to think about. Also JF did mention there is always evidence of substance misuse in lay-bys, SJ pointed out that the local police no longer work at nights and that incidents in Elmore, he did say they could always do a night shift. They did mention that if Elmore Parish Council wanted the police to come out to the village and look at crime prevention, then they would be more than happy to do so.</li> <li>JS to circulate the telephone and email contacts of both Sam and Emma.</li> </ul>	JS
	<ul> <li>launched at Gloucester Park on 12<sup>th</sup> May 2012 between 12pm and 4pm and is for dog walkers to be able to report a crime and to make other members aware if something out of the ordinary is happening.</li> <li>They also offered to set up their information van in a suitable location in Elmore for villagers to meet them and discuss issues.</li> </ul>	JS
3 Open Question Time	JH had a question on behalf of Mona Roberts. Mrs Roberts stated that the Village Notice Board is now in a very poor condition and wondered if it could be repaired or replaced. This was noted by the PC and it will be	
	considered in due course.	

	Mr Ford asked about the finances and wondered if the people of the village	JH
	were allowed to see the accounts. JF stated that the villagers were allowed to	
	see the accounts, however this item wasn't on our Agenda this month but we	
	will but will be included at the next meeting. JH reported that because of a	
	large fallen bough at the pond opposite Barhouse, she proposed that her	-
	brother be asked for a quote for tree work around the pond. CS and AN both	JS
	supported the motion.	
4 Declarations of	There were no declarations of interest in any agenda item.	
Members' Interest		
in Agenda Items	the second se	
5 Minutes of	The Minutes of the meeting held on 12 <sup>th</sup> December 2011 were proposed by	
Previous Parish	AN and seconded by JH as a true record and agreed by all Councillors. At the	
Council Meeting	end of the meeting they were signed off by the Chairman.	
6 Matters Arising	JS had been asked to enter the PC & Printer onto the property book. This has	
	now been actioned.	
	CS previously raised the issue of the pot hole at Elmore Back Road, an email	
	was sent to John Kay, however no response has been received. JF to chase	JF
	Gloucestershire Highways.	
	Salt Bins have been provided, however these have not yet been used. It has	TJ
	been noticed that water was gathering on the tops of the salt bins. TJ has	
	already drilled holes through the bottom of the bins so that the water can	
	drain out.	
	The 'School Admission Paper' was discussed at the last meeting; action is	JF
	still required. JF to action.	
	Flooding – the distribution of the letter written to Richard Benyon MP has	
	been actioned by JF. No further action required.	
7 County	Cllr Tony Blackburn was unable to attend so no County Councillor's report	
Councillor's	was given to the meeting.	
Report		
8 District	Cllr Graham Littleton (SDC) attended the meeting and gave a short brief on	
Councillor's	topical matters at the District Council.	
Report	He mentioned that a local authority mortgage scheme has been put in place.	
-	This is for people who could afford a mortgage but cannot save enough for a	
	deposit. There has been good progress made to provide rural affordable	
	housing. A suitable site has now been found in Whitminster.	
	GL attended the Sellar's Farm enquiry which lasted 4 days. The results are	
	expected in April.	
9 Flooding -	JF explained that there was an updated policy document, recently published	
Landowners	by the Environmental Agency on their website which highlights the	
Responsibilities.	responsibilities of landowners and river dwellers. JF has already sent copies	
_	of this document to all landowners along the 4km stretch of the River Severn	
	within Elmore. These documents were called 'Living on the Edge' and the	
	'Protocol for Maintenance in Flood Risk Areas'. Mr Carter stated that he was	
	worried that the 'Living on the Edge' document doesn't cover the houses, just	
	the farms. This point was noted.	
10 Emergency	JF stated that he had recently attended a briefing by SDC on the need for	
Plan	parishes to have their own Emergency Plans. He explained that we have had	
	various bad floods over the past few years as well as heavy snow, strong	AN
	storms and a foot and mouth epidemic in 2001, all of which disrupted village	
	life. Such events have affected the village for many years and as there was	
L	je in the second s	1

now considerable help available JF wondered if now was the time to produce our own Emergency Plan. JF therefore proposed that a draft plan be produced	
our own Emergency Plan. JF therefore proposed that a draft plan be produced	
for wider consultation and consideration. AN seconded this motion and it was	
unanimously passed. No time deadline was fixed.	
<b>11 Elmore Parish</b> AN explained the rationale behind Elmore having its own 'Design Statement'	
<b>Design Statement</b> and that there wasn't a basic guideline for planning applications. This results	
in the odd planning proposal for Elmore that doesn't fit in with the village.	
AN did mention that Longney and Epney have a Design Statement which	
highlights particular qualities and ideas that contribute to the quality of	
village life.	
There was some discussion about the 'ownership' of this document and AN	
stated that it would be produced by consultation but would be owned by the	
Parish Council.	
Once produced and agreed, the Design Statement is sent to SDC but EPC can	
1 0	AN
example of an electronic copy and distribute it to councillors so that it could	
be discussed at the next meeting.	
<b>12 Consultation</b> JF reported that the briefing to the Severn Voice Group of Parishes by the	
Environment Agency was held on Tuesday 6 <sup>th</sup> March. This briefing followed	
with Environment several months of talks with the EA and the briefing was expected to throw	
Agency some light on a way forward following the publication in January 2011 of the	
EA's proposals to flood large areas of farmland within the Severn Voice	
parishes.	
Sadly, there was a disappointing attendance from parish councillors and a	
lack of substance from the EA presentation.	
No new proposals were offered, however the EA did produce some new	
figures:	
- The sea level rise is now predicted to be 0.72m over the next100years. The	
amount of wildlife habitat now required is 1,500 ha and not 3,500 ha. Some	
of this new habitat total $(+/-450 \text{ ha})$ has already been taken up by the project	
at Steart Peninsula in Somerset.	
The EA propose to visit the village to 'consult' parishioners but as of yet,	
they haven't anything new to offer.	
Therefore, JF proposed that he writes to the EA's new Engagement Officers	
spelling out our concerns and as they haven't made any proposals for a new	
strategy, we would lay out some ideas of our own as a possible way forward;	
e.g:	
Status Quo – (The EA continues current maintenance of flood defences)	
Status Quo Plus – (The EA continues current maintenance and react to	
trigger events such as sea level rise in say 20 years)	
Managed Retreat – (The EA clearly identifies areas they consider	
uneconomic and then puts in place a plan which protects dwellings, farms and	
quality farmland.)	
Maintenance by Proxy – (The responsibility for maintenance is taken over	
by a third party e.g. GCC, SDC, landowners, local businesses and tenant	
farmers but with the funds that were previous allocated to the Defra and EA).	
	JF
like them, they must produce alternatives.	UI.
This proposal was unanimously accepted.	
JF wants to get the EA's engagement officers to visit Elmore for the whole	
JF wants to get the EA 5 engagement officers to visit Ennote for the whole	

	day, take them round Elmore and show what and who is going to be affected. However, he is not prepared to do so until there are signs that the EA have	
	something positive to discuss as well as the answers to outstanding questions.	
13 War Memorial	JF spoke for TJ in his absence.	
Update	The repair bill for the War Memorial is going to be around £900, however	
-	VAT can be claimed back on this.	
	A grant has been applied for from the War Memorials Trust and also a formal	
	letter has been sent to Mr Watts asking for a donation from the Elmore	
	•	
	Charity.	
	JF-stated that a contribution will need to be made from EPC funds; JF	
	proposed £150.00 and AN seconded the motion and it was unanimously	
	passed.	
	The PC would also need to cover the cost of VAT initially whilst this is being	
	recovered.	
14 Dlannin a		
14 Planning	JF stated that as the issue of Wind Turbines was such a contentious issue he	
Applications	was prepared to break from normal procedure and permit statements and	
Update:	questions from interested parties during the discussion.	
Wind Turbine	JF invited the applicant, Mr Littleton (GL) to make a statement.	
land near	GL made a long statement on his proposal, the main points were:	
Velthouse Farm	All correspondence is available on the SDC website;	
v chilouse i urm	The application was originally submitted to SDC in March 2011;	
	The application was being handled by his Agent;	
	The proposal had been made at the suggestion of GL's bank as an investment	
	opportunity;	
	The turbine was to provide a source of income rather than help with the farm	
	operations;	
	The turbine could only be located near Velthouse Farm in order to feed into	
	the 3-phase power lines near there;	
	The turbine would be 27 metres tall.	
	Mr Ford made a statement in which he expressed concern that the application	
	had been permitted to get this far. He was concerned that this turbine, which	
	was actually 33.5 metres tall including the blades, would be a blot on the	
	landscape and could set a precedent, thus encouraging many more in the	
	village. He asked for the application to be withdrawn.	
	vinage. He asked for the application to be withdrawn.	
	Mr Davis (GD) stated that he considered that the planning applications for a	
	similar turbine at Hollow Farm seemed to have been handled differently by	
	SDC in regards to notification of the public, signs etc.	
	He stated that he fully supported the Hollow Farm turbine application as this	
	was to be of direct benefit to the business rather than a money-making	
	project.	
	GD also stated that such a turbine in the village would have detrimental	
	affects on the values of those properties within close proximity.	
	GD also asked if the applicant would still go ahead with the construction of	
	the turbine if permission was granted by SDC.	
	GL answered GD's questions by stating that he had nothing to do with the	
	signs that SDC put up. However, he refused to answer the question regarding	
	construction if he obtained planning permission.	

15 Date of next meeting	<ul> <li>During the lively discussions it was necessary for JF to call the meeting to order several times as questions were not being directed through the 'Chair'.</li> <li>AN pointed out that we were still awaiting responses from the 'Agent' to several issues raised by SDC. Once these answers were forthcoming we would comment on them if asked.</li> <li>JF drew-the discussion to a close by stating that all interested parties had been given the opportunity to comment to SDC and that these comments were now available on the website. It was now a matter for SDC, in accordance with our democratic principles, to make the final decision.</li> <li>The next meeting was scheduled for Monday 14<sup>th</sup> May 2012 which was to be the Annual Parish &amp; Annual Parish Council Meeting.</li> </ul>	
	Venue, Elmore Village Hall at 7.45pm	
	Chair Date	